arXiv:1512.07747v4 [math.NT] 23 May 2016

HASSE-WEIL ZETA FUNCTIONS OF SL_2-CHARACTER VARIETIES OF CLOSED ORIENTABLE HYPERBOLIC 3-MANIFOLDS

SHINYA HARADA

Abstract. It is proved that the Hasse-Weil zeta functions of the canonical components of the SL_2 (PSL_2)-character varieties of closed orientable complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds of finite volume are equal to the Dedekind zeta functions of their trace fields (invariant trace fields). When the closed 3-manifold is arithmetic, the special value at $s = 2$ of the Hasse-Weil zeta function of the canonical component of the PSL_2-character variety is expressed in terms of the hyperbolic volume of the manifold up to rational numbers.

0. Introduction

For an orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold M of finite volume the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$ of M is the set of the characters of the representations of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ into $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. It is known that $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$ is an affine algebraic set over $\mathbb{Q}$, that is, it is the set of the common zeros of a finite number of polynomials with rational coefficients. Culler and Shalen have shown its importance in the study of 3-manifolds in [9] by constructing essential surfaces in the manifolds attached to the ideal points of the character varieties.

In this paper we establish the following results (the notation and the precise statements are explained below):

- The Hasse-Weil zeta function of the canonical component of the SL_2 (PSL_2)-character variety of a closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume is equal to the Dedekind zeta function of the trace field (invariant trace field).
- The special value at $s = 2$ of the Hasse-Weil zeta function of the canonical component of the PSL_2-character variety of an arithmetic closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume is expressed in terms of the hyperbolic volume of the closed 3-manifold up to a rational number.

Despite the importance of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ ($\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$)-character variety of a 3-manifold, the algebro-geometric structure of the character variety is not known well and it does not seem to have simple structure. For instance, in general the dimension of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety does not behave nicely. Even if we consider the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety of a hyperbolic knot complement in the 3-sphere it may have an irreducible component with arbitrary large dimension (cf. [22]). If M is a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold with n cusps, its complete hyperbolic structure is determined by the holonomy representation $\rho_M : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ (it is discrete, faithful, especially irreducible representation). By taking a lift of ρ_M to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ there is an irreducible component (we call it a canonical component) of the character variety $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$ containing the character corresponding to the lift. It would contain the geometric information on the hyperbolic structure. In fact, it is

proved by Thurston that the dimension of the canonical component $X(M)(\mathbb{C})_0$ of $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$ is equal to the number of cusps of M. To retrieve further algebro-geometric properties of the canonical components of the character varieties of the hyperbolic 3-manifolds we will precisely determine them arithmetically and investigate their zeta functions.

For that purpose in what follows we will use the following terminology: For an orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold M of finite volume let $X(M)$ be the SL_2-character variety of M, namely it is a unique affine reduced scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that the set of its $\mathbb{C}$-rational points is the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$ of M. In other words, there exist polynomials $f_1, \cdots, f_r$ in $\mathbb{Q}[T_1, \cdots, T_m]$ satisfying

$$X(M) = \mathrm{Spec}\ (A := \mathbb{Q}[T_1, \cdots, T_m]/(f_1, \cdots, f_r))$$

such that the set $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$ of $\mathbb{C}$-rational points

$$X(M)(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Hom}\,(A, \mathbb{C}) = \{(a_1, \cdots, a_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid f_i(a_1, \cdots, a_m) = 0 \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq r\}$$

is the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety of M in the usual sense in Topology. We denote by $X_0(M)$ (resp. $X(M)(\mathbb{C})_0$) an irreducible component of $X(M)$ (resp. $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$) containing the character corresponding to a lift of ρ_M.

To determine the structure of the affine scheme $X_0(M)$ and to define an action of $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ on $X_0(M)$, we will introduce another scheme $\mathcal{X}_0(M)$, which is considered as a model of $X_0(M)$ for a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M.

Let $\mathcal{X}(M)$ be the moduli scheme of absolutely irreducible representations of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)]$ into Azumaya algebras (whose images are contained in norm 1 subgroups) with degree 2 studied by Procesi. When M is a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold we denote by $\mathcal{X}_0(M)$ an irreducible component of $\mathcal{X}(M)$ containing the image of the rational point corresponding to a lift of ρ_M (we call $\mathcal{X}_0(M)$ a canonical component of $\mathcal{X}(M)$ as well as the $X(M)$-case). In this paper we prove the following (for the definition of the zeta function see Section 1):

Theorem 1 (Theorem 3.9)**.** *Let M be a closed orientable complete hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Then the Hasse-Weil zeta function $\zeta(\mathcal{X}_0(M), s)$ is equal to the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta(K_M, s)$ of the trace field K_M up to rational functions in p^{-s} for finitely many prime numbers p.*

Here, the trace field K_M of M is the number field of finite degree over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by the traces of a lift of the holonomy representation ρ_M (which does not depend on the choice of a lift of ρ_M). As a corollary we obtain the following:

Theorem 2 (Corollary 3.11)**.** *Let M be a closed orientable complete hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Then the reduced scheme $X_0(M)$ is unique as a closed subscheme of $X(M)$, which does not depend on the choice of a lift of the holonomy representation $\rho_M : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and $X_0(M)$ is isomorphic to the spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}\, K_M$ of the trace field K_M. Therefore the Hasse-Weil zeta function $\zeta(X_0(M), s)$ is equal to the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta(K_M, s)$.*

Since we could determine $X_0(M)$ it also is possible to determine the Hasse-Weil zeta function of PSL_2-character variety of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold (in the sense of Heusener and Porti) as follows.

Theorem 3 (Theorem 3.17)**.** *Let M be an orientable closed hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Let $C_2 := \{\pm 1\}$ be the finite group of order 2 and $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2) := \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(M), C_2)$. Then $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ acts on $X_0(M)$ and the quotient scheme $\overline{X}_0(M) := X_0(M)/H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ is isomorphic to the spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Inv}K_M)$ of the invariant trace field $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$. Therefore the Hasse-Weil zeta function $\zeta(\overline{X}_0(M), s)$ is equal to the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta(\mathrm{Inv}K_M, s)$ of the invariant trace field $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$ of M.*

Here the invariant trace field $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$ is a subfield of the trace field K_M generated by the traces of the squares of the image of the holonomy representation.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of conjugacy classes of the lifts of the holonomy representation $\rho_M : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the cohomology group $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(M), C_2)$. We can show (Lemma 3.13) that the cardinality of $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ is equal to $[K_M : \mathrm{Inv}K_M]$. Thus we deduce the following:

Theorem 4 (Corollary 3.18)**.** *Let M be a closed oriented complete hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Then the number of canonical components $X(M)(\mathbb{C})_0$ of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$ is equal to $[K_M : \mathrm{Inv}K_M] = \#H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$.*

For arithmetic 3-manifolds it is well-known as Borel's formula (see Theorem 3.20) that the hyperbolic volumes are expressed, especially in terms of the special values at 2 of the Dedekind zeta functions of the invariant trace fields. Hence we obtain the following corollary.

Theorem 5 (Corollary 3.21)**.** *Let M be an arithmetic orientable closed hyperbolic 3-manifold. Then the special value $\zeta(\overline{X}_0(M), 2)$ is expressed in terms of the hyperbolic volume $\mathrm{Vol}(M)$ and π as follows:*

$$\zeta(\overline{X}_0(M), 2) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}^\times} \frac{(4\pi^2)^{[\mathrm{Inv}K_M:\mathbb{Q}]-1}\mathrm{Vol}(M)}{|\Delta_{\mathrm{Inv}K_M}|^{3/2}},$$

where $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$ means the equality holds up to a rational number.

For hyperbolic knot complements it is confirmed by some examples and is conjectured that the A-polynomials of hyperbolic knots would relate with the hyperbolic volumes by considering their Mahler measures (cf. [3], [2]). Unlike the closed 3-manifold case, the canonical component of the $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety of any hyperbolic twist knot complement in the 3-sphere S^3 is isomorphic to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ ([18]). Thus it seems that we cannot expect a similar result on a relation between the hyperbolic volume and the special value of the zeta function of the PSL_2-character variety of a 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Yuichiro Taguchi for telling him about Lemma 3.7 and the possibility of Lemma 3.2, which improved the statements of Theorem 3.9 and Corollary 3.11. He is grateful to Yuji Terashima for his helpful comments, which especially led the author to Theorem 3.17. Finally he is indebted to Masanori Morishita for his sincere encouragement and comments throughout this work, which enabled the author to complete it.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 13J01342, 24224002, 16K17564.

1. Hasse-Weil zeta function

1.1. **Hasse-Weil zeta function of a scheme.** Here we review some basic facts on the Hasse-Weil zeta functions of schemes over $\mathbb{Z}$. For details see [25].

In this subsection X is a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$. The dimension of X is the maximal length of a chain of closed irreducible subschemes of X

$$X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_{n-1} \subset X, \quad X_i \neq X_{i+1}.$$

Let $\overline{X}$ be the set of closed points of X and $N(x) = \#(k(x))$, where $k(x)$ is the residue field at $x \in \overline{X}$. A point $x \in X$ is a closed point if and only if its residue field $k(x)$ is a finite field.

Lemma 1.1. *There are only finitely many closed points of X which have the same isomorphic residue field.*

Proof. Since X is of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$, we can reduce to the case where X is an affine scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence it is enough to consider the case $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \cdots, X_r])$. It follows from Zariski's lemma that any maximal ideal of $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \cdots, X_r]$ is generated by the elements $f_1, \cdots, f_r$ such that f_i is in $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \cdots, X_i]$ and f_i is irreducible in the quotient ring $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \cdots, X_i]/(f_1, \cdots, f_{i-1})$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then we see that there are finitely many maximal ideals in $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \cdots, X_r]$ with the same residue field since there are only finitely many possibilities of the tuples of polynomials $(f_1, \cdots, f_r)$ with given degree. □

Therefore the set $X(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) := \mathrm{Hom}\,(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}), X)$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$-rational points of X is a finite set for any prime power p^n. The Hasse-Weil zeta function $\zeta(X, s)$ of X is defined by

$$\zeta(X, s) := \prod_{x \in \overline{X}} \frac{1}{1 - N(x)^{-s}}.$$

The function $\zeta(X, s)$ converges absolutely on $\mathrm{Re}(s) > \dim X$. Note that there is another expression of $\zeta(X, s)$ as follows.

Lemma 1.2.

$$\zeta(X, s) = \prod_{p:prime} Z(X, p, p^{-s}),$$

where

$$Z(X, p, T) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#X(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}{n} T^n\right).$$

Proof. By the definition we see that $\#X(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = \sum_{1 \leq r, r|n} r a_r$, where a_r is the number of closed points $x \in \overline{X}$ whose residue fields are isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{p^r}$. Note that

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} T^n\right) = (1 - T)^{-1}.$$

Hence we have

$$\zeta(X, s) = \prod_p \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-ns})^{-a_n} = \prod_p \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{r} (p^{-ns})^r\right).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_p \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{r}(p^{-ns})^r\right) &= \prod_p \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{r}(p^{-ns})^r\right) \\ &= \prod_p \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{1\le n|m} \frac{na_n}{m}p^{-ms}\right) \quad (\text{ put } m = nr) \\ &= \prod_p \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#X(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})}{m}p^{-ms}\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

Let X_{red} be the reduced scheme of X. Since $X_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \xrightarrow{\sim} X(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$, we have $\zeta(X_{\mathrm{red}}, s) = \zeta(X, s)$.

Example 1.3. Let

$$\zeta(K, s) = \prod_{0\neq \mathfrak{p}\subset \mathcal{O}_K} (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})^{-1}$$

be the Dedekind zeta function of a number field $K/\mathbb{Q}$. Here we denote by $\mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of integers of K, $\mathfrak{p}$ is a non-zero prime ideal of K and $N(\mathfrak{p}) = \#(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})$.

- (Dedekind zeta function)
$$\zeta(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathcal{O}_K), s) = \zeta(K, s).$$
Especially $\zeta(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathbb{Z}), s) = \zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function.
- (Affine space, Projective space) For the affine space $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \cdots, T_n]$ and the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathrm{Proj}\,\mathbb{Z}[T_0, \cdots, T_n]$
$$\zeta(\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}, s) = \zeta(s - n).$$
$$\zeta(\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}, s) = \zeta(s - n)\zeta(s - (n - 1)) \cdots \zeta(s).$$

Proposition 1.4. *Let K be a finite number field and $\mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of integers of K. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be an order of K. Then $\zeta(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathcal{O}), s)$ is equal to $\zeta(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathcal{O}_K), s)$ up to rational functions in p^{-s} for finitely many prime numbers p.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is an order of K, it is of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_K$. Note that there are bijective correspondence between the prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}$ and those of $\mathcal{O}_K$ lying on prime numbers $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ (cf. [27], Example 3.2). Hence $Z(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathcal{O}), p, T)$ is equal to $Z(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathcal{O}_K), p, T)$ for any $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$. Therefore $\zeta(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathcal{O}), s)$ is equal to $\zeta(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathcal{O}_K), s)$ up to rational functions in p^{-s} for $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$. □

1.2. **Hasse-Weil zeta function of the character variety.** Since $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$ is an affine algebraic set over $\mathbb{Q}$, there is a unique reduced affine scheme $X(M)$ of finite type over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that the set of its $\mathbb{C}$-rational points is isomorphic to $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$. We will call $X(M)$ the SL_2-character variety of M. (For the existence of such scheme, see for instance [16], Lemma 3.2.6.)

Now we define the Hasse-Weil zeta function of the SL_2-character variety $X(M)$ of a hyperbolic 3-manifold M. It is defined by the Hasse-Weil zeta function in the preceding subsection in terms of a model of $X(M)$.

Since $X(M)$ is an affine algebraic set over $\mathbb{Q}$ there exist polynomials $f_1, \cdots, f_r$ in $\mathbb{Q}[T_1, \cdots, T_m]$ such that $X(M)$ is written as

$$X(M) = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Q}[T_1, \cdots, T_m]/(f_1, \cdots, f_r).$$

By multiplying the above polynomials by some positive integer we can replace $f_1, \cdots, f_r$ by polynomials $f_1', \cdots, f_r'$ in $\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \cdots, T_m]$. Let X be the scheme defined by $f_1', \cdots, f_r'$:

$$X = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \cdots, T_r]/(f_1', \cdots, f_r').$$

Then define $\zeta(X(M), s)$ by $\zeta(X, s)$.

Proposition 1.5. *The function $\zeta(X(M), s)$ is well-defined up to rational functions in p^{-s} for finitely many prime numbers p.*

Proof. Given a system of polynomials $f_1, \cdots, f_r$ in $\mathbb{Q}[T_1, \cdots, T_m]$, let N, M be positive integers which annihilate the denominators of the polynomials. Then it is obvious that the systems (Nf_i) and (Mf_i) have the same zero set in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ for any prime $p \nmid NM$ and $n \geq 1$. Hence the zeta functions defined by them are identical up to local factors for $p \nmid NM$.

Take two systems of defining polynomials for $X(M)$, namely

$$X(M) = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Q}[T_1, \cdots, T_m]/(f_1, \cdots, f_r) \tilde{\to} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Q}[U_1, \cdots, U_n]/(g_1, \cdots, g_s),$$

where we can assume that $f_1, \cdots, f_r$ and $g_1, \cdots, g_s$ are integer coefficients. Now we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras

$$\mathbb{Q}[T_1, \cdots, T_m]/(f_1, \cdots, f_r) \tilde{\to} \mathbb{Q}[U_1, \cdots, U_n]/(g_1, \cdots, g_s).$$

Let $\tilde{T}_i \in \mathbb{Q}[U_1, \cdots, U_n]$ (resp. $\tilde{U}_j \in \mathbb{Q}[T_1, \cdots, T_m]$) be a representative of the image of T_i (resp. U_j) by the above isomorphism. If $\tilde{\tilde{T}}_i \in \mathbb{Q}[T_1, \cdots, T_m]$ (resp. $\tilde{\tilde{U}}_j \in \mathbb{Q}[U_1, \cdots, U_n]$) is the element obtained by substituting $\tilde{U}_j$ (resp. $\tilde{T}_i$) into U_j (resp. T_i), we have

$$\tilde{\tilde{T}}_i \in T_i + (f_1, \cdots, f_r)_{\mathbb{Q}[T_1, \cdots, T_m]}, \quad \tilde{\tilde{U}}_j \in U_j + (g_1, \cdots, g_s)_{\mathbb{Q}[U_1, \cdots, U_n]}.$$

Hence there is a positive integer $N > 0$ such that

$$N\tilde{\tilde{T}}_i \in NT_i + (f_1, \cdots, f_r)_{\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \cdots, T_m]}, \quad N\tilde{\tilde{U}}_j \in NU_j + (g_1, \cdots, g_s)_{\mathbb{Z}[U_1, \cdots, U_n]}.$$

Let $\tilde{f}_i$ (resp. $\tilde{g}_j$) be the element obtained from f_i (resp. g_j) by substituting $\tilde{T}_i$ (resp. $\tilde{U}_j$) into T_i (resp. U_j). Then we have a matrix presentation

$$(\tilde{f}_1, \cdots, \tilde{f}_r) = (g_1, \cdots, g_s)A, \quad (\tilde{g}_1, \cdots, \tilde{g}_s) = (f_1, \cdots, f_r)B$$

for $A \in \mathrm{M}_{s,r}(\mathbb{Q}[U_1, \cdots, U_n])$ (resp. $B \in \mathrm{M}_{r,s}(\mathbb{Q}[T_1, \cdots, T_m])$). Let $M > 0$ be a positive integer of the l.c.m. of all the denominators of the coefficients of the elements in the above matrix presentations.

Now we see that, if p is a prime number not dividing NM, then the above isomorphism induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}_p[T_1, \cdots, T_m]/(f_1, \cdots, f_r) \tilde{\to} \mathbb{F}_p[U_1, \cdots, U_n]/(g_1, \cdots, g_s)$$

which sends T_i to $\tilde{T}_i$ and U_j to $\tilde{U}_j$ respectively. This implies that the local zeta function $Z(f_1, \cdots, f_r, p, T)$ and $Z(g_1, \cdots, g_s, p, T)$ are equal for any $p \nmid NM$. Therefore we have proved the proposition. □

2. Review of Moduli theory of Procesi

2.1. **Universal representation ring and scheme.** The universal representation ring $A_d(R)$ of a (non-commutative) ring R is a commutative ring which parametrizes all the representations of R with degree d over commutative rings. Here we review its construction for an arbitrary associative ring (cf. [23], §1).

For any (non-commutative) ring R it is written as $R = \mathbb{Z}\langle x_k \mid k \in S\rangle/\mathbf{I}$, where $\mathbb{Z}\langle x_k \mid k \in S\rangle$ is the non-commutative polynomial ring of indeterminant x_k with index set S and $\mathbf{I}$ a two-sided ideal of $\mathbb{Z}\langle x_k \mid k \in S\rangle$. Let $\mathbb{Z}[X_{ij}^k \mid 1 \le i, j \le d, k \in S]$ be the (commutative) polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Z}$ (we write $\mathbb{Z}[X_{ij}^k]$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}[X_{ij}^k \mid 1 \le i, j \le d, k \in S]$ for short). Then we have the following canonical ring homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \rho: & \mathbb{Z}\langle x_k \mid k \in S\rangle & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{M}_d(\mathbb{Z}[X_{ij}^k]) \\ & x_k & \mapsto & (X_{ij}^k)_{ij} \end{array}.$$

Let $\mathbf{J}$ be the two-sided ideal of $\mathrm{M}_d(\mathbb{Z}[X_{ij}^k])$ generated by $\rho(\mathbf{I})$. Then the ideal $\mathbf{J}$ is written as $\mathrm{M}_d(J)$, where J is an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[X_{ij}^k]$ defined by

$$J := \left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z}[X_{ij}^k] \mid a \text{ is an entry of some } M \in \mathbf{J} \right\}.$$

Thus the above homomorphism induces the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}\langle x_k \mid k \in S\rangle & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathrm{M}_d(\mathbb{Z}[X_{ij}^k]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R & \xrightarrow[\rho_{d,R}]{} & \mathrm{M}_d(A_d(R)), \end{array}$$

where $A_d(R)$ is the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}[X_{ij}^k]/J$. We call $\rho_{d,R}$ *the universal representation* of R with degree d and $A_d(R)$ *the universal representation ring* of R with degree d.

Proposition 2.1. *The covariant functor*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathcal{R}: & (\text{Comm.Rings}) & \longrightarrow & (\text{Sets}) \\ & A & \longmapsto & \mathrm{Hom}\,(R, \mathrm{M}_d(A)) \end{array}$$

from the category of commutative rings into the category of sets is represented by $A_d(R)$, that is, we have $\mathrm{Hom}\,(R, \mathrm{M}_d(A)) \tilde{\to} \mathrm{Hom}\,(A_d(R), A)$ *for any commutative ring A.*

Proof. Let $\rho : R \to \mathrm{M}_d(A)$ be a representation of R into $\mathrm{M}_d(A)$. Define a ring homomorphism $f : \mathbb{Z}[X_{ij}^k] \to A$ by $f(X_{ij}^k) := (\rho(x_k))_{ij}$. Here $(\rho(x_k))_{ij}$ means the (i, j)-entry of the matrix $\rho(x_k)$. By the definition of $A_d(R)$, this induces a ring homomorphism $\overline{f} : A_d(R) \to A$. It is easy to see that this correspondence induces a bijection between $\mathrm{Hom}\,(R, \mathrm{M}_d(A))$ and $\mathrm{Hom}\,(A_d(R), A)$. □

If R is a finitely generated (non-commutative) ring, it is clear by construction that the universal representation ring $A_d(R)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra. We call the spectrum $X_d(R) := \mathrm{Spec}\,(A_d(R))$ the *universal representation scheme* of R. If R is the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ for a group G, we write $A_d(G)$ (resp. $X_d(G)$) for $A_d(R)$ (resp. $X_d(R)$).

Let $A'_d(G)$ be the quotient ring of $A_d(G)$ by the ideal generated by $\det(X_{ij}^k) - 1$ for all $k \in S$ and $\rho'_{d,G}$ the composite homomorphism of $\rho_{d,G} := \rho_{d,\mathbb{Z}[G]}$ and the projection

$\mathrm{M}_d(A_d(G)) \to \mathrm{M}_d(A'_d(G))$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}\,(\mathbb{Z}[G], \mathrm{M}_d(A))$ is identified with $\mathrm{Hom}\,(G, \mathrm{GL}_d(A))$, we also have the following:

Proposition 2.2. *The covariant functor*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathcal{R}' : & (\text{Comm.Rings}) & \longrightarrow & (\text{Sets}) \\ & A & \longmapsto & \mathrm{Hom}\,(G, \mathrm{SL}_d(A)) \end{array}$$

from the category of commutative rings into the category of sets is represented by $A'_d(G)$, that is, we have $\mathrm{Hom}\,(G, \mathrm{SL}_d(A)) \tilde{\to} \mathrm{Hom}\,(A'_d(G), A)$ *for any commutative ring A.*

Proof. For a representation $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_d(A)$, let $f : A_d(G) \to A$ be the corresponding ring homomorphism associated with $\mathbb{Z}[\rho] : \mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathrm{M}_d(A)$. It is obvious that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is contained in $\mathrm{SL}_d(A)$ if and only if f factors through $A'_d(G)$. □

2.2. **Moduli theory of Procesi.** Let R be a (non-commutative) associative ring. Here we briefly review the moduli theory of Procesi on absolutely irreducible representations of R into Azumaya algebras. For details, refer to the original paper (in particular §1, 2) of Procesi ([23]) or §1, 2, 3 of [28] where the theory is discussed in a more general setting. Once we assume the theory of Procesi its SL_n-version (put restriction on the determinant) of the theory is immediately obtained (Theorem 2.15). First we collect some facts on Azumaya algebras. For the proofs, see for instance [15].

Definition 2.3. Let A be a commutative ring. We say that an A-algebra S is an *Azumaya algebra of degree d* if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) S is a finitely generated projective A-module of rank d^2,
(2) the natural homomorphism $S \otimes_A S^\circ \to \mathrm{End}_A(S)$ given by $s \otimes s' \longmapsto (t \mapsto sts')$, is an isomorphism,

where S° is the opposite ring of S.

Example 2.4. The total matrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_d(A)$ is an Azumaya algebra of degree d over A. If A is a field, an Azumaya algebra over A is just a central simple algebra over A. Here a *central simple algebra* S over a field A is a finite dimensional A-algebra such that S has no non-trivial two sided ideal and the center $C(S)$ is equal to A.

Here we list some basic properties of Azumaya algebras.

Proposition 2.5. *Let S be a finitely generated A-module and $f : A \to B$ a ring homomorphism.*

(1) *If S is projective, then $S \otimes_A B$ is also projective. If f is faithfully flat, then the converse is also true.*
(2) *If S is an Azumaya algebra of degree d over A, then $S \otimes_A B$ is also an Azumaya algebra of degree d over B. If f is faithfully flat, then the converse is also true.*

Proposition 2.6. *Let S be a finitely generated A-module. Let $a_1, \cdots, a_r$ be elements of A such that $A = (a_1, \cdots, a_r)_A$. Then the canonical ring homomorphism $A \to \prod_i A[1/a_i]$ is a faithfully flat homomorphism. Thus S is an Azumaya algebra of degree d over A if and only if $S \otimes_A A[1/a_i]$ is an Azumaya algebra of degree d over $A[1/a_i]$ for any i.*

Proposition 2.7. *Let S be an Azumaya algebra of degree d over a commutative ring A. Then there is a faithfully flat homomorphism $f : A \to C$ such that $S \otimes_A C$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{M}_d(C)$. (We call f a splitting of S.)*

Remark 2.8. Since f is faithfully flat, it is injective and the structure homomorphism $A \to S$ is also injective. Moreover, S is identified with a subring of $\mathrm{M}_d(C)$ and $S \cap C = A$ is the center of S.

Proposition 2.9. *Let S be an Azumaya algebra of degree d over A. Then there is a surjective A-module homomorphism $\mathrm{Tr} := \mathrm{Tr}_{S/A} : S \to A$. If $A \to C$ is a splitting of S, then $\mathrm{Tr} \otimes_A C : \mathrm{M}_d(C) \to C$ is equal to the trace map of $\mathrm{M}_d(C)$. There is also a map $\mathrm{N} := \mathrm{N}_{S/A} : S \to A$ such that the restriction on $S^\times$, that is $\mathrm{N}|_{S^\times} : S^\times \to A^\times$ is a group homomorphism. If $A \to C$ is a splitting of S, then $\mathrm{N} \otimes_A C : \mathrm{M}_d(C) \to C$ is equal to the norm map of $\mathrm{M}_d(C)$.*

We call Tr (resp. N) the *reduced trace* (resp. *reduced norm*) on S. Let $T_d(R)$ be the subring of $A_d(R)$ generated by $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Im}(\rho_{d,R}))$ and $S_d(R)$ the subring of $\mathrm{M}_d(A_d(R))$ which is generated by $T_d(R)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_{d,R})$. For any d^2-tuple $\mathbf{r} = (r_i)_{1\le i\le d^2}$ of elements of R, denote by $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r})$ the determinant $\det(\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{d,R}(r_i)\rho_{d,R}(r_j))) \in T_d(R)$. We call $\mathbf{d}$ a *discriminant* of $\mathbf{r}$. Let $S_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}]$ denote the localization $S_d(R) \otimes_{T_d(R)} T_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}]$. Then $(\rho_{d,R}(r_i))_{1\le i\le d^2}$ is a $T_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}]$-basis of $S_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}]$.

Theorem 2.10 ([23], 2.2,Theorem)**.** *$S_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}]$ is an Azumaya algebra of degree d over $T_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}]$.*

Definition 2.11. Let $\mathbb{T}_d(R)$ be the open subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}\,(T_d(R))$ covered by the affine open subschemes $\mathrm{Spec}\,(T_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}])$, where $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r})$ runs through all the d^2-tuples $\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{r}_i)_{1\le i\le d^2}$ of elements of R.

Note that if R is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}$, then the scheme $\mathbb{T}_d(R)$ is of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.12. Let R be a (non-commutative) associative ring. Let S be an Azumaya algebra of degree d over A. A ring homomorphism $\rho : R \to S$ is called an *absolutely irreducible representation* of degree d over A, if S is generated by $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ as an A-module. Two absolutely irreducible representations $\rho_1 : R \to S_1$ and $\rho_2 : R \to S_2$ over A are *equivalent* if there exists an A-algebra isomorphism $f : S_1 \to S_2$ such that $\rho_2 = f \circ \rho_1$.

Remark 2.13. Let k be a field. Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_d(k)$ be a representation and $k[\rho] : k[G] \to \mathrm{M}_d(k)$ an associated ring homomorphism. It is known that ρ is absolutely irreducible (that is, the composition $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_d(k) \to \mathrm{GL}_d(\overline{k})$ is irreducible for an algebraic closure $\overline{k}$ of k) if and only if $k[\rho]$ is absolutely irreducible in the above sense (cf. [1], §13, Prop. 5).

Let $\mathcal{F}_{R,d}$: (Comm. Rings) $\to$ (Sets) be the functor which sends a commutative ring A to the set $\mathcal{F}_{R,d}(A)$ of equivalence classes of absolutely irreducible representations of R of degree d over A. Then the following result has been obtained by Procesi ([23]):

Theorem 2.14 ([23], 2.2,Theorem)**.** *The functor $\mathcal{F}_{R,d}$ is representable by the scheme $\mathbb{T}_d(R)$.*

Here we only describe the correspondence between the sets $\mathcal{F}_{R,d}(A)$ and $\mathbb{T}_d(R)(A)$ for any commutative ring A. Let $\rho : R \to S$ be an element of $\mathcal{F}_{R,d}(A)$, i.e. (an isomorphism

class of) an absolutely irreducible representation of R into an Azumaya algebra S of degree d over A. Then there is a faithfully flat ring homomorphism $A \hookrightarrow C$ such that $S \hookrightarrow S \otimes_A C \tilde{\to} \mathrm{M}_d(C)$. Thus there is a unique ring homomorphism $f_\rho : A_d(R) \to C$ which induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R & \to & S_d(R) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{M}_d(A_d(R)) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & S & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{M}_d(C). \end{array}$$

Since S is an Azumaya algebra of degree d, there is a d^2-tuple $\mathbf{r} = (r_i)_{1 \le i \le d^2}$ of elements of R such that they generate S over A. Put $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r})$. Then $\det(\mathrm{Tr}(r_i r_j))$ is invertible in A. Thus $f_\rho|_{T_d(R)} \colon T_d(R) \to A$ induces $T_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}] \to A$. This defines an A-rational point $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \to \mathrm{Spec}(T_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}]) \to \mathbb{T}_d(R)$.

Conversely, given an A-rational point $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \to \mathbb{T}_d(R)$, we have a ring homomorphism $T_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}] \to A$ for some $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r})$. Then we have an absolutely irreducible representation $\rho : R \to S_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}] \to S_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}] \otimes_{T_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}]} A$ of degree d over A, since $S_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}]$ is an Azumaya algebra of degree d over $T_d(R)[1/\mathbf{d}]$.

Now we put $R = \mathbb{Z}[G]$. For every Azumaya algebra S over a commutative ring A, let S^1 be the kernel of the reduced norm $N_S|_{S^\times} \colon S^\times \to A^\times$. Let

$$\mathcal{F}'_{G,d} : (\text{Comm. Rings}) \to (\text{Sets})$$

be a functor which sends a commutative ring A to the set of isomorphism classes of absolutely irreducible representations $\rho : R \to S$ of R into Azumaya algebras over A of degree d such that $\rho(G)$ is contained in S^1. Note that $\mathcal{F}'_{G,d}$ is a subfunctor of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Z}[G],d}$.

Theorem 2.15. *The functor $\mathcal{F}'_{G,d}$ is representable by a closed subscheme $\mathbb{T}'_d(G)$ of $\mathbb{T}_d(G)$. (Here we write $\mathbb{T}_d(G)$ instead of $\mathbb{T}_d(R)$.)*

Proof. Let $A'_d(G)$ be the quotient ring of $A_d(G)$ by the ideal generated by the elements $\det(\rho_G(g)) - 1$ as before. We denote by $T'_d(G)$ (resp. $S'_d(G)$) the subring of $A'_d(G)$ generated by the traces of $\mathrm{Im}(\rho'_{d,G})$ (resp. the subring of $\mathrm{M}_d(A'_d(G))$ generated by $T'_d(G)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\rho'_{d,G})$), which is a quotient ring of $T_d(G)$ (resp. $S_d(G)$). Let $\mathbb{T}'_d(G)$ be the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{T}_d(G)$ covered by the affine open subschemes $T'_d(G)[1/\mathbf{d}]$. Now we prove that this is the scheme which represents the functor $\mathcal{F}'_{G,d}$. Let $\rho : R \to S$ be an element of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Z}[G],d}(A)$, i.e. (an isomorphism class of) an absolutely irreducible representation of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ into an Azumaya algebra S of degree d over A. As we see above, there is a faithfully flat ring homomorphism $f_\rho : A_d(G) \to C$ where C is a splitting of S over A. By Theorem 2.14 we have a corresponding A-rational point $T_d(G)[1/\mathbf{d}] \to A$ of $\mathbb{T}_d(G)$ for a suitable discriminant $\mathbf{d}$. Now we have the following commutative diagram:



Therefore we see that ρ is in $\mathcal{F}'_{G,d}(A)$ if and only if $f_\rho : A_d(G) \to A$ factors through $A'_d(G)$. Thus the statement follows. □

Corollary 2.16. $\mathbb{T}'_d(G)(\mathbb{C})\tilde{\to}\mathcal{F}'_{G,d}(\mathbb{C})$ *is equal to the set of conjugacy classes of irreducible representations of* G *into* $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{C})$*. In particular, it is equal to the set of irreducible characters of* $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{C})$*-representations of* G *(cf.* [21]*,Theorem 6.12).*

Therefore we can regard $\mathbb{T}'_2(\pi_1(M))(\mathbb{C})\tilde{\to}\mathcal{F}'_{\pi_1(M),2}(\mathbb{C})$ as the open subset $X_{\mathrm{Irr}}(M)(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of all the irreducible characters of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$ of $\pi_1(M)$ for a 3-manifold M.

3. Hasse-Weil zeta functions of character varieties

3.1. **Hasse-Weil zeta functions of SL_2-character varieties.** Let M be a closed orientable complete hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume and $\mathcal{X}(M)$ the moduli scheme $\mathbb{T}'_2(\pi_1(M))$ as in the previous section. Let $\mathcal{X}_0(M)$ be an irreducible component containing the point corresponding to a (fixed) lift ρ of the holonomy representation $\rho_M : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

First we prove a result on the dimension of the canonical component corresponding to the following theorem for the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety.

Theorem 3.1 (Thurston(cf. [29],[10]))**.** *Let M be an orientable complete hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume with n cusps. Then we have*

$$\dim X(M)(\mathbb{C})_0 = n.$$

Lemma 3.2. $\dim X(M)(\mathbb{C})_0 = 0$ *implies* $\dim X_0(M) = 0$.

Proof. Since $\dim X(M)(\mathbb{C})_0 = 0$, the irreducible component $X(M)(\mathbb{C})_0$ consists of the point defined by the holonomy character χ_ρ which is defined over the trace field K_M. Let L be the Galois closure of K_M over $\mathbb{Q}$ in an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of K_M. Since the holonomy point is a smooth point of $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$, the point in $X_0(M)$ is smooth. Let $U \subset X_0(M)$ be the regular (smooth) locus of $X_0(M)$, which is an open subset of $X_0(M)$. Put $U_L := U \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L$. Note that U_L is dense in $(X_0(M))_L$ since U is dense in $X_0(M)$. Hence we see that $\dim U_L = \dim(X_0(M))_L = \dim X_0(M)$. Therefore it is enough to show that $\dim U_L = 0$.

Note that, if the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}\,(L/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on the set of irreducible components (= connected components since U_L is smooth) of U_L transitively and $\dim(U_L)_0 = 0$ (where $(U_L)_0$ is an irreducible component containing the holonomy point), we see that $\dim U_L = 0$. It is proved as follows. The scheme $U_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ contains the irreducible component consisting only of the holonomy point. Since $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $U_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ there are finitely many irreducible components of $U_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ contained in the Galois image of the irreducible component of the holonomy point. All of them are defined over the Galois closure L of the trace field K_M. Thus they are already decomposed over L. Then we see that U_L is the Galois orbit of the irreducible component of the holonomy point. Remark that $\dim(U_L)_0 = 0$ since the holonomy point is also an irreducible component of U_L. Since $\dim X_0(M) = \dim(X_0(M))_L$, we have $\dim X_0(M) = 0$.

□

Remark 3.3. Since $\dim X_0(M) = 0$, the reduced scheme $X_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is written as $\operatorname{Spec} K$, where K is a finite extension field of $\mathbb{Q}$. If the closed immersion $X(M)(\mathbb{C})_0 \to X_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{C})$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ (or at most over K), we have $K \tilde{\to} K_M$. However it seems a delicate matter where it is defined.

Lemma 3.4. $\dim X(M)(\mathbb{C})_0 = 0$ *implies* $\dim \mathcal{X}_0(M) = 1$.

Proof. We can apply the same argument as in the previous lemma for the generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_0(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ as follows. Since $\mathcal{X}_0(M)(\mathbb{C})$ is identified with $X(M)_{\mathrm{Irr}}(\mathbb{C})$, the point set in $\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} := \mathcal{X}_0(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ corresponding to the holonomy point in $X(M)_{\mathrm{Irr}}(\mathbb{C})$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$. Since there is an inclusion relation

$$(\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})_0 \subset (\mathcal{X}(M)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})_0 \tilde{\to} X(M)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})_0,$$

we have $\dim(\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})_0 = 0$. Thus we have $\dim(\mathcal{X}_0(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ by the same argument as in the proof of the previous lemma.

By Lemma 28.30.5 of Morphisms of Schemes in Stacks Project ([26, Tag 0BAG]) (or [13], Corollaire (5.6.6)), we have $\dim \mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}} \leq \dim \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) + \mathrm{tr.deg}(R(\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}})/\mathbb{Q})$. Here $R(\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}})$ is the function field of $\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}}$ and $\mathrm{tr.deg}(R(\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}})/\mathbb{Q})$ is the transcendental degree of $R(\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Note that $\mathrm{tr.deg}(R(\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}})/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ since $\dim(X(M)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}))_0 = 0$.

Therefore $\dim \mathcal{X}_0(M) \leq 1$. Now if we assume $\dim \mathcal{X}_0(M) = 0$, then $\mathcal{X}_0(M)$ is a scheme over $\mathbb{F}_p$ for some prime p. Hence we have $\mathcal{X}_0(M) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \emptyset$. However $\mathcal{X}_0(M) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is non-empty since $\mathcal{X}_0(M)$ contains the point corresponding to the holonomy representation of M. Thus we see $\dim \mathcal{X}_0(M) \geq 1$. □

Since $\mathcal{X}_0(M)$ is of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$ ($\pi_1(M)$ is finitely generated), we see that $\mathcal{X}_0(M)$ is finite over $\mathbb{Z}$. Thus the reduced scheme $\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is equal to the scheme $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}$ for some integral domain of finite rank over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let K be the quotient field of $\mathcal{O}$. Note that K is a finite extension field of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{O}$ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_K$, the ring of integers of K.

Lemma 3.5. $\mathcal{O}$ *is an order of* K.

Proof. As we have seen in the proof of the previous lemma, we know that $\dim(\mathcal{X}_0(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = 0$. Therefore we have $\dim(\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}$. Hence we have $\dim \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = 0$. Note that $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is an integral domain contained in $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathbb{Q} = K$. Therefore $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a field. Note that this is the minimal field containing $\mathcal{O}$, which is equal to K. Thus $\mathcal{O}$ is an order of K. □

Since $\mathcal{O}$ is an order of K, $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ is of finite index. Note that there is a bijective correspondence between the prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}$ and those of $\mathcal{O}_K$ lying on prime numbers $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ (cf. [27]). Hence $\zeta(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}), s)$ is equal to $\zeta(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K), s)$ up to rational functions in p^{-s} for $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$. Therefore we have the equality

$$\zeta(\mathcal{X}_0(M), s) = \zeta(\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}}, s) = \zeta(K, s)$$

up to rational functions.

Now we would like to determine the number field K. Suppose that the holonomy representation $\rho_M : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(L)$ is defined over a number field L (for which we can

take the trace field K_M or a quadratic extension of K_M). There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}\,(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_0(M)\otimes\mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{Spec}\,(K) \\ \| & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}\,(L) & \xrightarrow{p_\rho} & \mathcal{X}_0(M) = \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathcal{O}). \end{array}$$

Thus it is clear that $K \subset L$.

Lemma 3.6. *We have $K_M \subset K \subset L$.*

Proof. Let $A_{\mathrm{univ}}(M) := A'_2(\pi_1(M))$ be the universal representation ring of the SL_2-representations of $\pi_1(M)$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{univ}} : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(A_{\mathrm{univ}}(M))$ the associated universal representation as in the previous section. Then the (lift of) holonomy representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(L)$ factors through $\mathrm{SL}_2(A_{\mathrm{univ}}(M)) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(L)$ induced by the homomorphism $f_M : A_{\mathrm{univ}}(M) \to L$. Let $T_{\mathrm{univ}}(M) := T'_2(\pi_1(M))$ be the subring of $A_{\mathrm{univ}}(M)$ generated by the trace of ρ_{univ}. Note that $f_M(\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho_{\mathrm{univ}}) = \mathrm{Tr}\,\rho$. Therefore we see that $\mathbb{Q}(f_M(T_{\mathrm{univ}}(M))) = K_M$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Spec}\,(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_0(M)\otimes\mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathcal{O}\otimes\mathbb{Q} = K) & & \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathrm{Spec}\,(L) & \xrightarrow{p_\rho} & \mathcal{X}_0(M) = \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathrm{Spec}\,(T_{\mathrm{univ}}(M)). \end{array}$$

The scheme $\mathcal{X}(M)$ is an open subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}\,(T_{\mathrm{univ}}(M))$ (which is of the form $\cup\mathrm{Spec}\,(T_{\mathrm{univ}}(M)[1/\mathbf{d}])$). Since there is a commutative diagram as above, the homomorphism $f_M : T_{\mathrm{univ}}(M) \to L$ corresponding to the lift ρ of the holonomy representation factors through $K \to L$. Hence we see that $f_M(T_{\mathrm{univ}}(M)) = \mathrm{Tr}\,\rho \subset K \subset L$. Thus we have $K_M \subset K \subset L$. □

Lemma 3.7. *We can choose $L = K_M$.*

Proof. Let $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a lift of the holonomy representation of M. Consider the associated absolutely irreducible representation $\mathbb{Z}[\rho] : \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)] \to A$, where A is an Azumaya algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Then we see by [28], Proposition 2.7 that $K_M[\mathrm{Im}(\rho)]$ is an Azumaya algebra over K_M and its base change $K_M[\mathrm{Im}(\rho)] \otimes_{K_M} \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to the Azumaya algebra A over $\mathbb{C}$. This means that $\mathbb{Z}[\rho] : \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)] \to A$ factors through the Azumaya algebra $K_M[\mathrm{Im}(\rho)]$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}[\rho] : \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)] \to K_M[\mathrm{Im}(\rho)]$ is defined over the trace field K_M. This implies that $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ defines a K_M-rational point $\mathrm{Spec}\,K_M \to \mathcal{X}_0(M)$. Thus we can take K_M as L. □

Remark 3.8. In general the holonomy representation of an orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold M of finite volume is defined over a finite number field. Namely there is an (at most) quadratic extension field L of the trace field K_M such that we can take $\rho_M : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(L)$ up to conjugacy (cf. [19], Corollary 3.2.4.). If M is non-compact, namely if M has a cusp then we can take $\rho_M : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(K_M)$ (cf. [19], Theorem 4.2.3).

Therefore we have proved the following:

Theorem 3.9. *Let M be an orientable closed hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Let $\mathcal{X}_0(M)$ be an irreducible component of the moduli scheme $\mathcal{X}(M)$ containing the point corresponding to a lift of the holonomy representation ρ_M. Then we have the equality $\zeta(\mathcal{X}_0(M), s) = \zeta(K_M, s)$ up to rational functions in p^{-s} for finitely many prime numbers p.*

Let $X(M)$ be the SL_2-character variety of M over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $X_0(M)$ be an irreducible component of $X(M)$ containing the point corresponding to the holonomy character of M. Since $\dim X_0(M) = 0$, the (reduced) scheme $X_0(M)$ is written as $\mathrm{Spec}\,(K')$, where K' is a finite extension field of $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(L)$ be a lift of the holonomy representation of M. Here L is the trace field K_M or a quadratic extension field of K_M. Since the holonomy character $\chi_M = \mathrm{Tr}\,\rho$ is an L-rational point of $X_0(M)$, we see that K' is regarded as a subfield of L. Therefore we have $\zeta(X_0(M), s) = \zeta(K', s)$ for $K' \subset L$.

As we have seen, $\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}} = \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is an order of K_M. Therefore $\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{Spec}\,(K_M)$. Note that the holonomy character χ_M defines a common zero of the minimal polynomials of K_M and K'. Therefore K_M and K' are isomorphic each other. Hence we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.10. *$\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to $X_0(M)$.*

This means that $\mathcal{X}_0(M)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is a model of $X_0(M)$. Hence we have

$$\zeta(X_0(M), s) = \zeta(\mathcal{X}_0(M), s).$$

Consider another lift of the holonomy representation ρ_M and let $X_0(M)' \subset X(M)$ be the canonical component of that lift. By the above argument both $X_0(M)$ and $X_0(M)'$ are isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec}\,K_M$. Hence the K_M-rational points defined by the characters of the lifts of the holonomy representation of M are conjugate (more precisely, they are $\mathrm{Gal}\,(K_M/\mathrm{Inv}K_M)$-conjugate. See §3.2, especially Lemma 3.13). It implies that $X_0(M)(\mathbb{C}) = X_0(M)'(\mathbb{C})$ in $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore we have $X_0(M) = X_0(M)'$ in $X(M)$. This means that the canonical component $X_0(M)$ does not depend on the choice of a lift of the holonomy representation ρ_M. Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.11. *Let M be an orientable closed hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Then the canonical component $X_0(M)$ is unique as a closed subscheme of $X(M)$, which does not depend on the choice of a lift of the holonomy representation, and $X_0(M)$ is isomorphic to the spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}\,K_M$ of the trace field K_M. Therefore the Hasse-Weil zeta function $\zeta(X_0(M), s)$ is equal to the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta(K_M, s)$ of the trace field K_M.*

Remark 3.12. As we have defined in Subsection 1.2, the Hasse-Weil zeta function of an algebraic set over $\mathbb{Q}$ in this paper is well-defined up to rational functions in p^{-s} for finitely many prime numbers p. However the canonical component of the SL_2 (PSL_2)-character variety of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold can be written as the spectrum of a number field (namely it is a smooth projective variety of dimension 0). Therefore we can take the unique maximal order, the ring of integers and the Hasse-Weil zeta function of the ring of integers is exactly the Dedekind zeta function of the number field. Thus we do not have to consider any ambiguity of rational functions in the descriptions of the Hasse-Weil zeta functions of SL_2 (PSL_2)-character varieties of the closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

3.2. **Hasse-Weil zeta functions of PSL_2-character varieties.** Let M be a closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Let $C_2 := \{\pm 1\}$ be the group of order 2 and let $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2) = \mathrm{Hom}\,(\pi_1(M), C_2)$. Then we can consider the group action of $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ on the canonical component $X_0(M)$ of $X(M)$ as follows.

Let A be a commutative ring. For any element $\epsilon \in H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ and $\rho : \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)] \to S \in \mathcal{X}(M)(A)$ (S is an Azumaya algebra of degree 2 over A) define the action of $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ on $\mathcal{X}(M)(A)$ by

$$\epsilon \cdot \rho(g) := \epsilon(g)\rho(g), \quad g \in \pi_1(M).$$

Note that for a ring homomorphism $f : A \to B$ this action is compatible with the morphism $f_* : \mathcal{X}(M)(A) \to \mathcal{X}(M)(B)$. Hence it naturally induces the group action of $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ on the scheme $\mathcal{X}(M)$, and on $\mathcal{X}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

Now we know by Lemma 3.10 that for any lift of the holonomy representation $\rho_M : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ the generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_0(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ of a canonical component $\mathcal{X}_0(M)$ of $\mathcal{X}(M)$ is isomorphic to $X_0(M) \tilde{\to} \mathrm{Spec}\, K_M$. Therefore the action of $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ on $\mathcal{X}(M)$ induces the action on the canonical component $X_0(M) \tilde{\to} \mathcal{X}_0(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of the SL_2-character variety $X(M)$.

Note that the group $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ is a finite group since $\pi_1(M)$ is finitely generated. Hence there exists a quotient (reduced) scheme $\overline{X}_0(M) := X_0(M)/H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ of finite type over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since there is a surjection $X_0(M) \to \overline{X}_0(M)$ we see that the scheme $\overline{X}_0(M)$ has dimension 0. Thus $\overline{X}_0(M)$ is written as $\mathrm{Spec}\, K'$, where $K' \subset K_M$ is a finite extension field of $\mathbb{Q}$. We shall prove that K' is isomorphic to the invariant trace field $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$.

For any $\epsilon \in H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ the associated isomorphism of $X_0(M) = \mathrm{Spec}\, K_M$ is induced by the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra isomorphism defined by $\chi_\rho(g) \mapsto \epsilon(g)\chi_\rho(g)$, where $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is any (fixed) lift of the holonomy representation ρ_M. Since the invariant trace field $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$ is generated by the elements $\chi_\rho(g^2)$ for $g \in \pi_1(M)$, we see that ϵ is identity on the subfield $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$. Therefore the induced morphism $X_0(M) = \mathrm{Spec}\, K_M \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathrm{Inv}K_M)$ is $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$-invariant morphism. Thus there exists a unique morphism $\overline{X}_0(M) \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathrm{Inv}K_M)$ such that the composite morphism $X_0(M) = \mathrm{Spec}\, K_M \to \overline{X}_0(M) \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathrm{Inv}K_M)$ is equal to the natural morphism $X_0(M) \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathrm{Inv}K_M)$. Hence we have the inclusion relation $\mathrm{Inv}K_M \subset K' \subset K_M$.

Lemma 3.13. *Let M be an orientable closed hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume, K_M the trace field and $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$ the invariant trace field. Then K_M is an elementary abelian extension field of $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$ and its Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}\,(K_M/\mathrm{Inv}K_M)$ is isomorphic to $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$. If the finite group $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ is written as*

$$H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2) \tilde{\to} \pi_1(M)/\pi_1(M)^2 = \langle \overline{g}_1, \cdots, \overline{g}_r \rangle,$$

then the trace field is expressed as

$$K_M = \mathrm{Inv}K_M(\chi_\rho(g_1), \cdots, \chi_\rho(g_r)),$$

where ρ is a lift of the holonomy representation of M.

Proof. Let $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a lift of the holonomy representation of M. Then the trace field K_M is $\mathbb{Q}(\chi_\rho(g) \mid g \in \pi_1(M))$ and the invariant trace field $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$ is $\mathbb{Q}(\chi_\rho(g^2) \mid g \in \pi_1(M)) = \mathbb{Q}(\chi_\rho(g)^2 \mid g \in \pi_1(M))$. Thus it is obvious that $K_M/\mathrm{Inv}K_M$ is an elementary abelian extension. The group action of $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ on $X_0(M)$ induces a homomorphism

$$H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2) \to \mathrm{Gal}\,(K_M/\mathrm{Inv}K_M); \quad \epsilon \mapsto \epsilon^*,$$

where ϵ^* is defined by $\epsilon^*(\chi_\rho(g)) = \epsilon(g)\chi_\rho(g)$. On the other hand, take an element σ of $\mathrm{Gal}\,(K_M/\mathrm{Inv}K_M)$. Note that any $\chi_\rho(g)$ in K_M is a root of a monic quadratic polynomial

over $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$. Hence there exists a unique element $\epsilon_\sigma(g)$ which takes value in $C_2 := \{\pm 1\}$ such that

$$\sigma(\chi_\rho(g)) = \epsilon_\sigma(g)\chi_\rho(g).$$

We know that for any $g, h \in \pi_1(M)$ the elements $\chi_\rho(g^2)$ and $\chi_\rho(g)\chi_\rho(h)\chi_\rho(gh)$ are contained in $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$ since there is an identity (cf. [19], §3.3.4, 3.3.5 or [14], §2.4)

$$2\mathrm{Tr}\,(A)\mathrm{Tr}\,(B)\mathrm{Tr}\,(AB) = \mathrm{Tr}\,(A)^2\mathrm{Tr}\,(B)^2 + \mathrm{Tr}\,(AB)^2 - \mathrm{Tr}\,(AB^{-1})^2$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore we have $\epsilon_\sigma(g^2) = 1$ and

$$\sigma(\chi_\rho(g)\chi_\rho(h)\chi_\rho(gh)) = \epsilon_\sigma(g)\epsilon_\sigma(h)\epsilon_\sigma(gh)\chi_\rho(g)\chi_\rho(h)\chi_\rho(gh) = \chi_\rho(g)\chi_\rho(h)\chi_\rho(gh).$$

Note that $\chi_\rho(g)\chi_\rho(h)\chi_\rho(gh) \neq 0$ since $\pi_1(M)$ is torsion-free. Thus we have $\epsilon_\sigma(g)\epsilon_\sigma(h)\epsilon_\sigma(gh) = 1$, namely $\epsilon_\sigma(gh) = \epsilon_\sigma(g)\epsilon_\sigma(h)$. Hence we deduce that ϵ_σ is an element of $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ and it is the inverse of the previous homomorphism. Thus we see that $\mathrm{Gal}\,(K_M/\mathrm{Inv}K_M)$ is isomorphic to $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$.

Since $\chi_\rho(g)\chi_\rho(h)\chi_\rho(gh) \in \mathrm{Inv}K_M$ and $\chi_\rho(g) \neq 0$ for any $g, h \in \pi_1(M)$ we see that $\chi_\rho(gh)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Inv}K_M(\chi_\rho(g), \chi_\rho(h))$. Therefore if the finite group $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ is written as

$$H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2) = H^1(\pi_1(M)/\pi_1(M)^2, C_2) \tilde{\to} \pi_1(M)/\pi_1(M)^2 = \langle \overline{g}_1, \cdots, \overline{g}_r \rangle,$$

we have $K_M = \mathrm{Inv}K_M(\chi_\rho(g_1), \cdots, \chi_\rho(g_r))$. □

In particular, since $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2) = \mathrm{Hom}\,(\pi_1(M)^{\mathrm{ab}}, C_2)$ we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.14. *Let M be an orientable closed hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Then the trace field K_M is equal to the invariant trace field if and only if the homology group $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \tilde{\to} \pi_1(M)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ has rank 0 and does not have 2-torsion.*

Remark 3.15. If M is an r-component link in the 3-sphere S^3 then the abelianization of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)^{\mathrm{ab}} := \pi_1(M)/[\pi_1(M), \pi_1(M)]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^r$. Hence we have $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2) \tilde{\to} C_2^r$. On the other hand, we know that the trace field is equal to the invariant trace field for any hyperbolic link in the 3-sphere ([19], Corollary 4.2.2). Therefore the above lemma does not hold for cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds in general.

By the above lemma, we see that $X_0(M) = \mathrm{Spec}\,K_M \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathrm{Inv}K_M)$ is a Galois cover with Galois group $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$. Therefore the quotient scheme $\overline{X}_0(M) = X_0(M)/H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathrm{Inv}K_M)$.

Remark 3.16. For a representation $\overline{\rho} : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ its character $\overline{\chi}_{\overline{\rho}} : \pi_1(M) \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $\overline{\chi}_{\overline{\rho}}(g) := (\mathrm{Tr}\,\overline{\rho}(g))^2$. If we denote by $\overline{X}(M)(\mathbb{C})$ the set of characters of representations $\overline{\rho} : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ which lift to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ there is an isomorphism between $X(M)(\mathbb{C})/H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ and $\overline{X}(M)(\mathbb{C})$ ([14], Proposition 4.2). Since there is an isomorphism

$$X(M)(\mathbb{C})/H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2) \tilde{\to} (X(M)/H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2))(\mathbb{C})$$

we can consider the quotient scheme $\overline{X}_0(M) \tilde{\to} \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathrm{Inv}K_M$ as the canonical component of the PSL_2-character variety of M.

Theorem 3.17. *Let M be an orientable closed hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Then the quotient scheme $\overline{X}_0(M) := X_0(M)/H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ is isomorphic to the spectrum* $\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathrm{Inv}K_M)$ *of the invariant trace field* $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$ *and the Hasse-Weil zeta function $\zeta(\overline{X}_0(M), s)$ is equal to the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta(\mathrm{Inv}K_M, s)$ of the invariant trace field* $\mathrm{Inv}K_M$ *of M.*

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of conjugacy classes of the lifts of the holonomy representation $\rho_M : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the cohomology group $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2) = \mathrm{Hom}\,(\pi_1(M), C_2)$. By Lemma 3.13 we know that the cardinality of $H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ is equal to $[K_M : \mathrm{Inv}K_M]$. Thus we obtain the following:

Corollary 3.18. *Let M be a closed oriented complete hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Then the number of canonical components $X(M)(\mathbb{C})_0$ of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$ is equal to $[K_M : \mathrm{Inv}K_M] = \#H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$.*

Remark 3.19. There is an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3) \to \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3) \to \{\pm 1\} \to 1,$$

where $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ is the group of isometries of $\mathbb{H}^3$ and $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ is the subgroup consisting of orientation-preserving isometries. $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ is generated by $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ and the orientation-reversing isometry defined by the anti-holomorphic Möbius transformation on the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore there are two orientation-preserving isometric classes for an orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume by Mostow-Prasad Rigidity (in other words, there are two $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-conjugacy classes of discrete faithful representations of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ into $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ for an orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold M of finite volume, which are isomorphic by complex conjugation). Hence by the above result there are $2[K_M : \mathrm{Inv}K_M] = 2\#H^1(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ canonical components in the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety $X(M)(\mathbb{C})$ for a closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold M.

Let k be a number field with exactly one complex place and let A be a quaternion algebra over k which is ramified at all real places. Let $\rho : A \to \mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a k-embedding of A and $\mathcal{O}$ an (maximal) order of A. Let $\mathcal{O}^1$ be the subgroup of the unit group $\mathcal{O}^\times$ with reduced norm 1. A complete orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume is called arithmetic when its fundamental group is commensurable with such $P(\rho(\mathcal{O}^1))$, where $P : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the projection.

For arithmetic 3-manifolds it is well-known as Borel's formula that the hyperbolic volumes are expressed, especially in terms of the special values at 2 of the Dedekind zeta functions of the invariant trace fields as follows.

Theorem 3.20 (cf. [19], Theorem 11.1.3)**.** *Let k be a number field having exactly one complex place, A a quaternion algebra which ramifies at all real places and $\mathcal{O}$ a maximal order in A. Let $\mathcal{O}^1$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{O}^\times$ of reduced norm 1 elements. Let $\rho : A \to \mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a splitting of A over k and denote by $P(\mathcal{O}^1)$ the projection of $\mathcal{O}^1$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then the hyperbolic volume of $\mathbb{H}^3/P\rho(\mathcal{O}^1)$ is*

$$\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathbb{H}^3/P\rho(\mathcal{O}^1)) = \frac{4\pi^2|\Delta_k|^{3/2}\zeta(k,2)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\Delta(A)}(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)}{(4\pi^2)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}}.$$

Here Δ_k (resp. $\Delta(A)$) is the discriminant of k (resp. A).

It is well-known that if M and M' are commensurable hyperbolic 3-manifolds of finite volume then the quotient $\mathrm{Vol}(M')/\mathrm{Vol}(M)$ of their volumes is a rational number. Therefore we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.21. *Let M be an arithmetic closed hyperbolic 3-manifold. Then the special value of $\zeta(\overline{X}_0(M), s)$ at $s = 2$ is expressed in terms of the hyperbolic volume $\mathrm{Vol}(M)$, the discriminant $\Delta_{\mathrm{Inv}K_M}$ and π as follows:*

$$\zeta(\overline{X}_0(M), 2) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}^\times} \frac{(4\pi^2)^{[\mathrm{Inv}K_M:\mathbb{Q}]-1}\mathrm{Vol}(M)}{|\Delta_{\mathrm{Inv}K_M}|^{3/2}},$$

where $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$ means the equality holds up to a rational number.

Remark 3.22. For a closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume, the second cohomology group $H^2(\pi_1(M), C_2)$ is non-zero in general since the abelianization $\pi_1(M)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ might have non-cyclic 2-torsion, namely there might be a representation $\overline{\rho} : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ which never lifts to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ ([12], Lemma 2.3, see also [8]). Hence there might be a little difference in our case between the constructions of the $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character varieties in the references González-Acuña-Montesinos-Amilibia [12], Boyer-Zhang [4], Long-Reid [17] and Heusener-Porti [14].

Remark 3.23. On the other hand, for any 1-cusped orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold M of finite volume such that $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, it is known that $H^2(\pi_1(M), C_2) = 0$ (for details, see [4], page 756). Hence there is no ambiguity on the definition of the $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety of M. However, as it is obtained in [18] the canonical component of the $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety of a hyperbolic twist knot complement in S^3 is the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore it seems we can not expect that the Hasse-Weil zeta function of the PSL_2-character variety of a 1-cusped orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume would have information on the hyperbolic volume of the manifold.

By Mostow-Prasad Rigidity the isometric classes of orientable complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds of finite volume correspond bijectively to the isomorphism classes of the fundamental groups of the manifolds (more precisely the conjugacy classes of the holonomy representations). From the results in this paper we know that for a closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold M of finite volume the canonical component $X_0(M)$ of the SL_2-character variety is determined by the trace field K_M. Therefore it is natural to ask whether the closed hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume is determined by the trace field. However, for a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M, there are infinitely many non-isometric closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds in the commensurable class of M since $\pi_1(M)$ is a torsion-free infinite group and is residually finite. Therefore there are infinitely many non-isometric closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds M' which are commensurable with M satisfying $K_{M'} = \mathrm{Inv}K_{M'} = \mathrm{Inv}K_M$. Thus the trace field is not enough to distinguish the isometric class of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold (the author would like to appreciate Alan Reid for answering this question).

Invariant trace fields have a characterization as number fields such that they have exactly one complex place. For such number fields it is known ([5], Corollary 1.4) that their isomorphism classes are determined by the Dedekind zeta functions. Namely two such

number fields are isomorphic if and only if they are arithmetically equivalent. Hence it would be worth considering the following question.

Question 3.24. *Are the trace fields of closed hyperbolic* 3*-manifolds isomorphic if and only if they are arithmetically equivalent?*

4. Examples

Here we give some explicit examples of the defining polynomials of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character varieties, holonomy representations and the trace fields of some closed arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifolds of small volumes.

We followed the way in [12] to compute defining polynomials of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety of a finitely presented group. After we have obtained defining polynomials of the character variety for each manifold, we have replaced those polynomials with simpler ones by computing their Gröbner basis and have found the common zeros of them by Maple. The polynomials presented here are the replaced ones. It is relatively not difficult to find the common zeros of the polynomials in an algebraic closure of each finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ once we know about the common zeros in $\mathbb{C}$. Then we have determined the Weil-type and Hasse-Weil type zeta functions and the trace fields. (For closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds, by Corollary 3.11 it is enough to compute the trace field to obtain the zeta functions. Thus we include the description of the zeta function only in the Weeks manifold case.)

For computing an explicit form of the holonomy representation, since the fundamental groups in our examples are generated by two elements, we follow the way given in [7].

4.1. **Weeks manifold case.** The Weeks manifold M_W is obtained by $(5,1)$, $(5,2)$ Dehn surgeries on the Whitehead link complement. the Weeks manifold is the unique manifold up to isometry which has the smallest volume among all the orientable closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds ([11], [20]). Its fundamental group has the following presentation:

$$\pi_1(M_W) \tilde{\to} \langle a, b \mid w_1 = w_2 = 1 \rangle,$$

where

$$w_1 := ababaBa^2B, \quad w_2 := bababAb^2A$$

for $A := a^{-1}$, $B := b^{-1}$. The original 6 defining polynomials obtained by the method in [12] are quite complicated. However, by the theory of Gröbner basis, we can replace those polynomials by simpler ones. Here we only show those polynomials replaced by the Gröbner basis of them (which we calculated by the software Maple):

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= -2 + z + 4z^2 + 2z^3 - 4z^4 - z^5 + z^6 \\
&= (z-2)(z^2 + z - 1)(z^3 - z - 1), \\
f_2 &= -2 + 3z + 3z^2 - 4z^3 + 2y - 3yz - yz^2 - z^4 + z^5 + yz^3, \\
f_3 &= -z - 3y + 4 - 4z^2 + z^4 - y^2 + y^3, \\
f_4 &= -yz^2 + xz^2 - yz + xz + y - x, \\
f_5 &= -x + z - 3z^3 + 2z^2 + z^5 + xy - yz - z^4 - y^2z + xy^2, \\
f_6 &= -z^4 - 4 - xyz + z^3 + y^2 + x^2 + 4z^2 - 2z.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety $X(M_W)(\mathbb{C})$ consists of the following points:

$$\{(2,2,2)\},$$
$$\left\{(\alpha,\alpha,2),(\alpha,2,\alpha),(2,\alpha,\alpha) \mid \alpha^2+\alpha-1=0\right\},$$
$$\left\{(\alpha,-1-\alpha,\alpha),(-1-\alpha,\alpha,\alpha),(-1-\alpha,-1-\alpha,\alpha) \mid \alpha^2+\alpha-1=0\right\},$$
$$\left\{(1-\beta^2,1-\beta^2,\beta) \mid \beta^3-\beta-1=0\right\}.$$

Thus we see that $\dim X(M_W)(\mathbb{C})=0$. The subset of $X(M_W)(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of reducible characters is the set of common zeros of the above polynomials and the polynomial $x^2+y^2+z^2-4xyz-4$, which is equal to $X(M_W)(\mathbb{C})$ except $\left\{(1-\beta^2,1-\beta^2,\beta) \mid \beta^3-\beta-1=0\right\}$. Therefore the subset $X(M_W)(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Irr}}$ of $X(M_W)(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of irreducible characters is

$$X(M_W)(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Irr}}=\left\{(1-\beta^2,1-\beta^2,\beta) \mid \beta^3-\beta-1=0\right\}.$$

Now we can show that the set $\mathrm{Rep}_2(\pi_1(M_W))(\mathbf{k})/\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ of conjugacy classes of absolutely irreducible representations of $\pi_1(M_W)$ into $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$ consists of points of the form $(1-\beta^2,1-\beta^2,\beta)$, where β is a root of the polynomial $f(T)=T^3-T-1$ in $\mathbf{k}$. Since $X(M_W)(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Irr}}$ contains a point corresponding to the holonomy character, the trace field K_{M_W} is equal to $\mathbb{Q}[T]/(f)$. Its discriminant $d_{K_{M_W}}$ is -23 and the class number $h_{K_{M_W}}=1$. Note that K_{M_W} is equal to the invariant trace field of the Weeks manifold since $\pi_1(M_W)=\pi_1(M_W)^{(2)}$. The ring $\mathbb{Z}[T]/(T^3-T-1)\subset K_{M_W}$ is equal to the ring of integers of K_{M_W}. (We can check it by PARI-GP, for instance.) Hence the Hasse-Weil zeta function of the Weeks manifold M_W is written as follows:

$$\zeta(X_0(M_W),s)=\zeta(\mathcal{X}_0(M_W),s)=\zeta(\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{Z}[T]/(T^3-T-1),s)=\zeta(K_{M_W},s).$$

Since the holonomy representation is irreducible, if $\rho:\pi_1(M_W)\to\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a lift of the holonomy representation their images are expressed as

$$\rho(a)=\begin{pmatrix}x & 1\\ 0 & x^{-1}\end{pmatrix},\qquad \rho(b)=\begin{pmatrix}y & 0\\ r & y^{-1}\end{pmatrix}$$

up to conjugation (cf. [24], lemma 7). Then the images $\rho(w_1)$ and $\rho(w_2)$ are expressed by the matrices $W^1=(w^1_{i,j}(x,y,r))$ and $W^2=(w^2_{i,j}(x,y,r))$, where $w^1_{i,j}(x,y,r)$ and $w^2_{i,j}(x,y,r)$ are polynomials in x,y,r. We obtain the following solutions of these polynomials by Maple:

$$(x,y,r)=\left\{(t,1,0),(x,y,-xy^5+xy^4+y^5-3xy^3-y^4+xy^2+3y^3-4xy+x+2y)\right\}.$$

Here t satisfies the equation $t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1=0$, y is a root of $F(z):=z^6-z^5+3z^4-z^3+3z^2-z+1$ and x is a root of $T^2+\alpha T+1$ for $\alpha:=y^5-y^4+3y^3-y^2+2y-1$. The representation defined by $(x,y,r)=(t,1,0)$ is reducible. Thus we only need to consider the other case.

Since $F(y)=y^6-y^5+3y^4-y^3+3y^2-y+1=0$, we have $y\alpha=-y^2-1$. Hence $\alpha=-(y+y^{-1})$. Therefore x is either y or y^{-1}.

When $x=y$, we have $r=-y^6+2y^5-4y^4+4y^3-4y^2+3y=\alpha+2=2-y-y^{-1}$. When $x=y^{-1}$, we have $r=y^5-2y^4+4y^3-3y^2+3y-4+y^{-1}=y^{-1}(y^6-2y^5+4y^4-3y^3+3y^2-4y+1)=y^{-1}(-y^5+y^4-2y^3-3y)=y^{-1}(-\alpha+y^3-y^2-y-1)=y^2+y^{-2}-y-y^{-1}$.

In each case we have $\mathrm{Tr}\rho([a,b]) = 2 - \left((y+y^{-1})-2\right)^2\left((y+y^{-1})+1\right)$. Note that ρ is reducible if and only if $\mathrm{Tr}\rho([a,b]) = 2$, which is equivalent to $(y+y^{-1}) = 2$ or -1. However we see from $F(y) = 0$ that $y+y^{-1}$ is a root of $T^3 - T^2 + 1$. Therefore ρ is irreducible.

Note that the character χ of $\rho : \pi_1(M_W) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is determined by $(\chi(a), \chi(b), \chi(ab))$ since $\pi_1(M_W)$ is generated by two elements a, b. Now in each case we have

$$(\chi(a), \chi(b), \chi(ab)) = (y+y^{-1}, y+y^{-1}, (y+y^{-1})^2 - (y+y^{-1})).$$

Therefore they define the same representation up to conjugacy.

The element $y+y^{-1}$ is a root of the polynomial $T^3 - T^2 + 1$ which defines the trace field K_{M_W} (we remark that we can replace $T^3 - T^2 + 1$ with $T^3 - T - 1$ appeared in the description of $X(M_W)(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Irr}}$ by the change of variables). Hence there are two possibilities of irreducible representations whose traces are non-real numbers. Since each holonomy representation $\rho_M : \pi_1(M_W) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ has a unique lift, They are the lifts of the two holonomy representations of M_W (which are not $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate but complex conjugate representations).

See §3.2 in [7] for more detailed explanation in another group presentation of the Weeks manifold case.

4.2. **Meyerhoff manifold case.** The Meyerhoff manifold M_M is the complete orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold obtained by $(5,1)$ Dehn surgery on the figure 8 knot complement. This is a unique arithmetic closed hyperbolic 3-manifold up to isometry with second smallest volume (for the arithmeticity, see [6]. For a proof of the second smallness of the volume, see [7]). Its fundamental group has the following presentation

$$\pi_1(M_M) \tilde{\to} \langle a, b \mid w_1 = w_2 = 1 \rangle,$$

where

$$w_1 = aBAbABabb, \quad w_2 = aBAbaaaaaabAB.$$

The following three polynomials define the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety of $\pi_1(M_M)$:

$$x - z,$$
$$y + z^6 - 3z^5 - 2z^4 + 11z^3 - 3z^2 - 8z + 2,$$
$$z^7 - 4z^6 + z^5 + 13z^4 - 13z^3 - 6z^2 + 9z - 2.$$

Then the subset $X(M_M)(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Irr}}$ consists of points of the form $(\alpha, 1-\alpha-\alpha^2+\alpha^3, \alpha)$, where α is a root of the polynomial $f(T) = T^4 - 3T^3 + T^2 + 3T - 1$. Therefore the trace field K_{M_M} is $\mathbb{Q}[T]/(f)$. The ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{K_{M_M}}$ is $\mathbb{Z}[T]/(f)$, its discriminant $d_{K_{M_M}}$ is -283 and the class number $h_{K_{M_M}} = 1$. Note that K_{M_M} is isomorphic to the invariant trace field of the Meyerhoff manifold M_M.

We can compute an explicit description of a lift of the holonomy representation as well as the Weeks manifold case.

Let $\rho : \pi_1(M_M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a lift of the holonomy representation and put the images at a, b as

$$\rho(a) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \rho(b) = \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ r & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

up to conjugation. Then the possibilities of (x, y, r) are $\{(t, 1, 0), (x, y, r)\}$. Here t satisfies the equation $t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1 = 0$ and x is a root of

$$F(z) := z^8 - 3z^7 + 5z^6 - 6z^5 + 7z^4 - 6z^3 + 5z^2 - 3z + 1.$$

If we put

$$\alpha = 3x^7 - 7x^6 + 10x^5 - 11x^4 + 13x^3 - 9x^2 + 8x - 4$$

then y is a root of $y^2 + \alpha y + 1 = 0$ and

$$r = 3x^7 - 6x^6 + 8x^5 - 8x^4 + 10x^3 - 5x^2 + 7x - 1 + (-x^7 + 3x^6 - 5x^5 + 6x^4 - 7x^3 + 6x^2 - 6x + 3)y.$$

Now $F(x) = 0$ implies that

$$(x + x^{-1})^4 - 3(x + x^{-1})^3 + (x + x^{-1})^2 + 3(x + x^{-1}) - 1 = 0.$$

Hence $x + x^{-1}$ is a root of $T^4 - 3T^3 + T^2 + 3T - 1$. A simple computation shows that

$$y + y^{-1} = -\alpha = (x + x^{-1})^3 - (x + x^{-1})^2 - (x + x^{-1}) + 1$$

and

$$r = (x^{-1} - x)y + x^{-1}(\alpha + x^2 + 1).$$

Therefore we have $(\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(a), \mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(b), \mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(ab)) = (x + x^{-1}, -\alpha, x + x^{-1})$.

Note that $f(T) = T^4 - 3T^3 + T^2 + 3T - 1$ is the minimal polynomial of the trace field K_{M_M}, which is also the invariant trace field of M_M. Hence if we take one of the two complex roots of $f(T)$ it defines a lift of the holonomy representation. Refer [6] for more detailed discussion in another group presentation of $\pi_1(M_M)$.

We give additional 3 examples of arithmetic closed 3 manifolds shortly.

Example 4.1. Let M = m010 (-1,2) in the list of SnapPea. This is the third smallest volume arithmetic closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. The fundamental group has a group presentation

$$\pi_1(M) \tilde{\to} \langle a, b \mid w_1 := aBa^3BabaB, w_2 := ab^2A^2b^2aB = 1 \rangle.$$

The irreducible character variety $X(M)(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Irr}}$ is the zero set of the polynomial $f(T) := T^4 - 2T^2 + 4$. Thus $\mathbb{Q}[T]/f(T)$ is the trace field of M. We remark that the trace field is not equal to the invariant trace field since $\pi_1(M)^{\mathrm{ab}} \tilde{\to} \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. In this case $\mathbb{Q}[T]/(T^2 - T + 1)$ is the invariant trace field.

For a representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\rho(a) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \rho(b) = \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ r & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

the possibilities of (x, y, r) are $\{(t, 1, 0), (x, y, r)\}$. Here t satisfies the equation $(t^2 - t + 1)(t^2 + t + 1) = 0$. In the other case x is a root of $F(z) := z^8 + 2z^6 + 6z^4 + 2z^2 + 1$ and y satisfies the equation $2y^2 + \alpha y + 2 = 0$ for $\alpha = x^6 + 2x^4 + 5x^2$. Note that $y + y^{-1} = -\alpha/2 = (x + x^{-1})^2/2$. Finally r is given by

$$\begin{aligned} r &= 2^{-1}(x^7 + 2x^5 + 7x^3 + 2x) + (-x^7 - 2x^5 - 6x^3 - 3x)y \\ &= 2^{-1}x^{-1}(x^8 + 2x^6 + 7x^4 + 2x^2) + x^{-1}y(-x^8 - 2x^6 - 6x^4 - 3x^2) \\ &= 2^{-1}x^{-1}(x^4 - 1) + x^{-1}y(-x^2 + 1) \\ &= 2^{-1}(x^3 - x^{-1}) + y(x^{-1} - x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} xy+(xy)^{-1}+r &= x^{-1}(y+y^{-1})+2^{-1}(x^3-x^{-1}) \\ &= 2^{-1}(-x^5-x^3-5x-x^{-1}) \quad (\text{apply } y+y^{-1}=-\alpha/2) \\ &= 2^{-1}(x^3+x+x^{-1}+x^{-3}) \quad (\text{use } F(x)=0) \\ &= 2^{-1}((x+x^{-1})^3-2(x+x^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the character of ρ is determined by

$$(\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(a),\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(b),\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(ab))=(x+x^{-1},(x+x^{-1})^2/2,((x+x^{-1})^3-2(x+x^{-1}))/2).$$

The trace field $K_M=\mathbb{Q}[T]/(f(T))$ is a quartic totally imaginary field and each root $x+x^{-1}$ of $f(T)$ defines one of the two lifts of the two complex conjugate holonomy representations $\rho_M:\pi_1(M)\to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Example 4.2. Put $M=$ m003(-4,3) in the list of SnapPea. This is the fourth smallest volume arithmetic closed hyperbolic 3-manifold. A group presentation of $\pi_1(M)$ is

$$\pi_1(M)\tilde{\to}\langle a,b \mid w_1:=a^2bAb^3Ab, w_2:=aba^2B^2a^2b=1\rangle.$$

The irreducible character variety $X(M)(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Irr}}$ is defined by the polynomial $f(T):=T^4-T^3-2T^2+2T+1$. Thus $\mathbb{Q}[T]/f(T)$ is the trace field of M, and it also is the invariant trace field of M.

The possibilities of (x,y,r) are $\{(t,1,0),(x,y,r)\}$. Here t satisfies the equation $t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1=0$. In the other case y is a root of $F(z):=z^8-z^7+2z^6-z^5+3z^4-z^3+2z^2-z+1$ and x satisfies the equation $x^2+\alpha x+1=0$ for

$$\alpha=-y^5+y^4-y^3-y=(y+y^{-1})^3-(y+y^{-1})^2-(y+y^{-1})+1.$$

Finally r is written as

$$\begin{aligned} r &= x(-y^7+y^6-2y^5+y^4-3y^3+y^2-3y+1)+(-y^7+y^6-2y^5-2y^3-y) \\ &= x(-y+y^{-1})+(-y^4+y^3-y^2+y-1+y^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} xy+(xy)^{-1}+r &= (x+x^{-1})y^{-1}+(-y^4+y^3-y^2+y-1+y^{-1}) \\ &= y+y^{-1}. \quad (\text{apply } x+x^{-1}=-\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the lifts of the two holonomy representations ρ are determined by

$$(\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(a),\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(b),\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(ab))=(-(y+y^{-1})^3+(y+y^{-1})^2+(y+y^{-1})-1,y+y^{-1},y+y^{-1})$$

for two complex roots $y+y^{-1}$ of $f(T)=T^4-T^3-2T^2+2T+1$.

Example 4.3. Put $M=$ m003 (-3,4) in the list of SnapPea. It is the seventh smallest volume arithmetic closed hyperbolic 3-manifold.

$$\pi_1(M)\tilde{\to}\langle a,b \mid w_1:=ab^3abA^2b, w_2:=abABAbabABa^2b^2a^2BAb=1\rangle.$$

The irreducible $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character variety $X(M)(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Irr}}$ is defined by $f(T):=T^6-T^2-1$. Thus $\mathbb{Q}[T]/(f(T))$ is the trace field of M, and $\mathbb{Q}[T]/(T^3-T^2+1)$ is the invariant trace field. This is equal to the invariant trace field of the Weeks manifold.

If $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a representation such that

$$\rho(a) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \rho(b) = \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ r & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

then (x, y, r) is determined as follows: x is a root of $F(z) := z^{12} + 6z^{10} + 14z^8 + 17z^6 + 14z^4 + 6z^2 + 1$ and y satisfies the equation $y^2 + \alpha y + 1 = 0$ for $\alpha = -x^{10} - 6x^8 - 14x^6 - 17x^4 - 13x^2 - 5 = (x + x^{-1})^2 - 1$. Finally r is written as

$$\begin{aligned} r &= y(-x^{11} - 6x^9 - 14x^7 - 17x^5 - 14x^3 - 7x) + (6x^{11} + 34x^9 + 73x^7 + 79x^5 + 59x^3 + 17x) \\ &= y(-x + x^{-1}) + (6x^{11} + 34x^9 + 73x^7 + 79x^5 + 59x^3 + 17x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} xy + (xy)^{-1} + r &= (y + y^{-1})x^{-1} + (6x^{11} + 34x^9 + 73x^7 + 79x^5 + 59x^3 + 17x) \\ &= 6x^{11} + 35x^9 + 79x^7 + 93x^5 + 76x^3 + 30x + 5x^{-1} \quad (\text{apply } y + y^{-1} = -\alpha) \\ &= -(x^5 + x^{-5} + 5(x^3 + x^{-3}) + 9(x + x^{-1})) \quad (\text{use } F(x) = 0 \text{ repeatedly}) \\ &= -(x + x^{-1})^5 + (x + x^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(T) = T^6 - T^2 - 1$ has two real roots and four complex roots, all the four lifts of the two holonomy representations ρ are determined by

$$(\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(a), \mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(b), \mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(ab)) = (x + x^{-1}, 1 - (x + x^{-1})^2, -(x + x^{-1})^5 + (x + x^{-1}))$$

for four complex roots $x + x^{-1}$ of $f(T)$.

M	defining polynomial f of $X(M)_{\mathrm{Irr}}(\mathbb{C})$
Weeks	$T^3 - T - 1$
Meyerhoff	$T^4 - 3T^3 + T^2 + 3T - 1$
m010 (-1,2)	$T^4 - 2T^2 + 4$
m003 (-4,3)	$T^4 - T^3 - 2T^2 + 2T + 1$
m004 (6,1)	$T^6 - 7T^4 + 14T^2 - 4$
m003 (-3,4)	$T^6 - T^2 - 1$

References

[1] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. 23. Première partie: Les structures fondamentales de l'analyse. Livre II: Algèbre. Chapitre 8: Modules et anneaux semi-simples*, Actualités Sci. Ind. no. 1261, Hermann, Paris, 1958.

[2] D. W. Boyd, F. Rodriguez-Villegas, and N. M. Dunfield, *Mahler's Measure and the Dilogarithm (II)*, preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0308041.

[3] D. W. Boyd, *Mahler's measure and invariants of hyperbolic manifolds*, Number theory for the millennium, I (Urbana, IL, 2000), A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002, 127–143.

[4] S. Boyer and X. Zhang, *On Culler-Shalen seminorms and Dehn filling*, Ann. of Math. (2) **148** (1998), 737–801.

[5] T. Chinburg, E. Hamilton, D. D. Long, and A. W. Reid, *Geodesics and commensurability classes of arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **145** (2008), 25–44.

[6] T. Chinburg, *A small arithmetic hyperbolic three-manifold*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), 140–144.

[7] T. Chinburg, E. Friedman, K. N. Jones, and A. W. Reid, *The arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifold of smallest volume*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **30** (2001), 1–40.

[8] M. Culler, *Lifting representations to covering groups*, Adv. Math. **59** (1986), 64–70.
[9] M. Culler and P. B. Shalen, *Varieties of group representations and splittings of* 3*-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), 109–146.
[10] R. J. Daverman and R. B. Sher (eds.), *Handbook of geometric topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
[11] D. Gabai, R. Meyerhoff, and P. Milley, *Minimum volume cusped hyperbolic three-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), 1157–1215.
[12] F. González-Acuña and J. M. Montesinos-Amilibia, *On the character variety of group representations in* SL(2, **C**) *and* PSL(2, **C**), Math. Z. **214** (1993), 627–652.
[13] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1965).
[14] M. Heusener and J. Porti, *The variety of characters in* $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3) **10** (2004), Special Issue, 221–237.
[15] M.-A. Knus and M. Ojanguren, *Théorie de la descente et algèbres d'Azumaya*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 389, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
[16] Q. Liu, *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 6, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002, Translated from the French by Reinie Erné, Oxford Science Publications.
[17] D. D. Long and A. W. Reid, *Commensurability and the character variety*, Math. Res. Lett. **6** (1999), 581–591.
[18] M. L. Macasieb, K. L. Petersen, and R. M. van Luijk, *On character varieties of two-bridge knot groups*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **103** (2011), 473–507.
[19] C. Maclachlan and A. W. Reid, *The arithmetic of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 219, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
[20] P. Milley, *Minimum volume hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, J. Topol. **2** (2009), 181–192.
[21] K. Nakamoto, *Representation varieties and character varieties*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **36** (2000), 159–189.
[22] L. Paoluzzi and J. Porti, *Non-standard components of the character variety for a family of Montesinos knots*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **107** (2013), 655–679.
[23] C. Procesi, *Deformations of representations*, Methods in ring theory (Levico Terme, 1997), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 198, Dekker, New York, 1998, 247–276.
[24] R. Riley, *Holomorphically parameterized families of subgroups of* SL(2, **C**), Mathematika **32** (1985), 248–264.
[25] J.-P. Serre, *Zeta and L functions*, Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry (Proc. Conf. Purdue Univ., 1963), Harper & Row, New York, 1965, 82–92.
[26] The Stacks Project Authors, *stacks project*.
[27] P. Stevenhagen, *The arithmetic of number rings*, Algorithmic number theory: lattices, number fields, curves and cryptography, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 44, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008, 209–266.
[28] Y. Taguchi, *Moduli of Galois representations*, preprint.
[29] W. P. Thurston, *Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 35, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997.

Previous address:
School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS)
Hoegiro 85, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-722, KOREA
harada@kias.re.kr

Graduate School of Information Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
W8-48 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan

Current address:
Shinya Harada
Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo,
3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan
harada@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp